ROBERT J. KING III STEVEN J. LEVITAS

ANNE C. BRENNAN ELLEN P. HAMRICK

ALLISON M. GRIMM JAMES C. ADAMS, II ELIZABETH S. BREWINGTON

JOHN K. EASON WAYNE A. LOGAN

H. ARTHUR BOLICK II

NATASHA RATH MARCUS

JOHN M. CROSS, JR. WINSTON P. LLCYD EDWARD S, MEDINA JENNIFER K. VAN ZANT

W. KEARNS DAVIS, JR

TRACEY DANKS COAN DAVID W. SAR

KATHLEEN M. THORNTON

BRIAN J. McMILLAN

ELIZABETH FAECHER CRABILL

V. BANDALL TINSLEY

JOHN R. ARCHAMBAULT S. KYLE WOOSLEY

S. RTLE WOUSLEY
WILLIAM C., SCOTT
DANIEL M., SROKA
FORREST W. CAMPBELL, JR.
MARCUS W., TRATHEN
JEAN C. BROOKS

L.P. MCLENDON, JR. HUBERT HUMPHREY EDGAR B. FISHER, JR.

W. ERWIN FULLER, JR. JAMES T, WILLIAMS, JR. WADE H. HARGROVE

M. DANIEL MEGINN MICHAEL D. MEEKER

WILLIAM G. MCNAIRY WILLIAM G. MENAIRY
EDWARD C. WINSLOW III
HOWARD L. WILLIAMS
GEORGE W. HOUSE
WILLIAM P.H. CARY
REID L. PHILLIPS

ROBERT A. SINGER JOHN H. SMALL

WILLIAM G. ROSS. JR. MARK J. PRAK

EFFREY E, OLEYNIK

MELISSA H. WEAVER JAMES R. SAINTSING

JOHN W. ORMAND II

JILL R WILSON MARC D. BISHOP JIM W. PHILLIPS JIP. MACK SPERLING

MARK DAVIDSON

RANDALL A. UNDERWOOD S. LEIGH RODENBOUGH IV

Brooks, Pierce, McLendon, Humphrey & Leonard, LLP RECEIVED

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA

MAILING ADDRESS **RALEIGH, N.C. 27602** STREET ADDRESS SUITE 1600 FIRST UNION CAPITOL CENTER RALEIGH, N.C. 27801

TELEPHONE 919-839-0300 FACSIMILE 919-639-0304

JUN 1 3 1997

Faderal Communications Commission CHOS OF Search neo-419851 L.P. McLENDON (1890-1968) KENNETH M. BRIM (1898-1893) CT. LEONARD, JR. (1828-1893) CT. LEONARD, JR. (1828-1893)

CLAUDE C. PIÈRCE (1913-1988) THORNTON H. BROOKS (1912-1988) G. NEIL DANIELS (1911-1997)

GREENSBORD OFFICE 00 Rénaissance plaza GREENSBORO, N.C. 27401

WASHINGTON OFFICE 2000 L STREET N.W., SUITE 200 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

June 13, 1997

BY HAND DELIVERY

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Stop Code - 1170 Washington, D.C. 20554

> Petition for Reconsideration filed by North Carolina Broadcasting Partners Sixth Report and Order: FCC 97-115; MM Docket No. 87-268

Dear Mr. Caton:

Transmitted herewith, on behalf of North Carolina Broadcasting Partners, licensee of Television Station WCCB(TV), Charlotte, North Carolina, are a facsimile of an original and eleven copies of a Petition for Reconsideration to be filed in the above-referenced matter.

If any questions should arise during the course of your consideration of this matter, it is respectfully requested that you communicate with this office.

Very truly yours,

BROOKS, PIERCE, McLENDON, HUMPHREY & LEONARD, L.L.P.

Mark J/ Prak

Counsel to North Carolina Broadcasting Partners

MJP:rb

No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

RECEIVED
JUN 1 3 1997

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSIO Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554 Office of Secretary

In the Matter of)	
) .	•
Advanced Television Systems)	
and Their Impact upon the)	MM Docket No. 87-268
Existing Television Broadcast)	
Service)	

To: The Commission

PETITION FOR PARTIAL RECONSIDERATION OF THE SIXTH REPORT AND ORDER SUBMITTED BY NORTH CAROLINA BROADCASTING PARTNERS

This Petition for Partial Reconsideration of the Commission's Sixth Report and Order in MM Docket No. 87-268, FCC 97-115 (released April 21, 1997) ("Sixth R&O" or "Allotment Order") is submitted on behalf of North Carolina Broadcasting Partners ("NCBP"), licensee of WCCB (TV), Channel 18, Charlotte, North Carolina. In the Sixth R&O, the Commission allotted DTV Channel 21 as the paired DTV Channel for NTSC 18. NCBP submits this Petition for the Commission to reconsider its decision to allot DTV Channel 21 as WCCB's paired channel, because allotment of Channel 21 fails to achieve the Commission's goals of replication and maximization of the NTSC service area of NTSC Channel 18. As a result, NCBP requests that the Commission allot another DTV channel if proven superior to Channel 21.

According to the FCC's Table of Allotments in the Sixth R&O, WCCB's DTV allotment replicates only 89% of the station's NTSC service. Similarly, WCCB will experience a loss in area of 844,000 square kilometers. While the Commission predicts a gain in population to be served by WCCB, it is in the best interests of the community, and NCBP's public service obligations, to be able to continue to serve the population that has become accustomed to WCCB's programming. Since the station's DTV allotment will reach only 89% of the area currently served, it is possible that more than 176,000 regular viewers of WCCB will no longer be able to enjoy the station's programming.

Therefore, NCBP respectfully requests that the Commission reconsider its allotment of DTV Channel 21 to WCCB, and to study other potential allotments to determine if WCCB's NTSC service can be better replicated. NCBP is unable to recommend an alternate channel since the Commission has not yet released its methodology for determining interference in OET Bulletin No. 69.

Respectfully submitted, this the 13th day of June, 1997.

NORTH CAROLINA BROADCASTING

PARTNERS

By:

Wade H. Hargrove

Mark J. Prak

BROOKS, PIÈRCE, McLENDON, HUMPHREY & LEONARD, L.L.P.

Post Office Box 1800 Raleigh, N.C. 27602

Counsel to North Carolina Broadcasting Partners